arXiv:math/0608121v1 [math.RA] 4 Aug 2006

Automorphisms of the semigroup of invertible matrices with nonnegative elements

Bunina E. I., Mikhalev A. V.

Let R be a linearly ordered ring with $1/2$, $G_n(R)$ ($n \geq 3$) be a subsemigroup of the group $GL_n(R)$, which consists of all matrices with nonnegative elements. In the paper [1] A.V. Mikhalev and M.A. Shatalova described all automorphisms of $G_n(R)$ in the case when R is a skewfield and $n \geq 2$. In this paper we describe all automorphisms of $G_n(R)$, if R is an arbitrary linearly ordered associative ring with $1/2$, $n \geq 3$.

1 Main definitions and notations, formulation of the main theorem.

Let R be an associative ring with 1.

Definition 1. *A ring R is called linearly ordered, if some subset R_+ is selected in R, and this subset R_+ satisfies the following conditions*:

1) $\forall x \in R\ (x = 0 \vee x \in R_+ \vee -x \in R_+) \wedge (x \in R_+ \Rightarrow -x \notin R_+)$;

2) $\forall x, y \in R_+\ (x + y \in R_+ \wedge xy \in R_+)$.

We say that $x \in R$ is greater (not smaller) than $y \in R$, and denote it by $x > y$, or $y < x$ ($x \geq y$, or $y \leq x$), iff $x - y \in R_+$ ($x - y \in R_+ \cup \{0\}$).

It is clear that $1 \in R_+$, because in the opposite case $-1 \in R_+ \Rightarrow 1 = (-1)(-1) \in R_+$, and that is impossible.

It is easy to prove by induction that in linearly ordered ring R we have *char* $R = 0$.

Elements of R_+ are called *positive*, and elements of $R_+ \cup \{0\}$ are called *nonnegative*.

Definition 2. *Let R be a linearly ordered ring. By $G_n(R)$ we will denote the subsemigroup of $GL_n(R)$, which consists of all matrices with nonnegative elements.*

The set of all invertible elements of R is denoted by R^*. If $1/2 \in R$, then R^* is infinite, because it contains all $1/2^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set $R_+ \cap R^*$ is denoted by R_+^*. If $1/2 \in R$, then it is also infinite.

Definition 3. *Suppose that R is a linearly ordered ring, $T \subset R$. Then $Z(T)$ will denote the center of T, $Z^*(T) = Z(T) \cap R^*$, $Z_+(T) = Z(T) \cap R_+$, $Z_+^*(T) = Z(T) \cap R_+^*$.*

It is clear that $Z_+^*(R) \subseteq Z_+^*(R^*)$. If $1/2 \in R$, then all these sets are infinite for $T = R$.

Definition 4. *Let $I = I_n$, $\Gamma_n(R)$ be the group of all invertible elements of $G_n(R)$, Σ_n be the symmetric group of the order n, S_σ be the matrix of a permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ (i. e. the matrix $(\delta_{i\sigma(j)})$, where $\delta_{i\sigma(j)}$ is a Kronecker symbol), $S_n = \{S_\sigma | \sigma \in \Sigma_n\}$, $diag[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ be a diagonal matrix with the elements $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ on the diagonal, $d_1, \ldots, d_n \in R_+^*$.*

Definition 5. *By $D_n(R)$ we will denote the group of all invertible diagonal matrices from $G_n(R)$, by $D_n^Z(R)$ we will denote the center of $D_n(R)$.*

It is clear that the group $D_n^Z(R)$ consists of all matrices $diag[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$, $d_1, \ldots, d_n \in Z_+^*(R^*)$.

Definition 6. *If $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are subsets of $G_n(R)$, then we will set*

$$C_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B}) = \{a \in \mathcal{A} | \forall b \in \mathcal{B}\ (ab = ba)\}.$$

A matrix $A \in \Gamma_n(R)$, which satisfies $A^2 = I$, will be called an *involution*.

Definition 7. *By $K_n(R)$ we will denote the subsemigroup of $G_n(R)$ consisting of all matrices*

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_{n-1} \in G_{n-1}(R),\ x \in R_+^*.$$

Let E_{ij} be the matrix with one nonzero element $e_{ij} = 1$.

Definition 8. *By $B_{ij}(x)$ we will denote the matrix $I + xE_{ij}$. Let $\mathbf{P}$ denote the subsemigroup in $G_n(R)$, which is generated by the matrices S_σ ($\sigma \in \Sigma_n$), $B_{ij}(x)$ ($x \in R_+, i \neq j$) and $diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \in D_n(R)$.*

Definition 9. *Two matrices $A, B \in G_n(R)$ are called $\mathcal{P}$-equivalent (see [1]), if there exist matrices $A_j \in G_n(R)$, $j = 0, \dots, k$, $A = A_0, B = A_k$, and matrices $P_i, \widetilde{P}_i, Q_i, \widetilde{Q}_i \in \mathbf{P}$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ such that $P_i A_i \widetilde{P}_i = Q_i A_{i+1} \widetilde{Q}_i$.*

Definition 10. *By $GE_n^+(R)$ we will denote the subsemigroup in $G_n(R)$ generated by all matrices which are $\mathcal{P}$-equivalent to matrices from $\mathbf{P}$.*

Note that if R is a skewfield, then $GE_n^+(R) = G_n(R)$.

Definition 11. *If G is some semigroup (for example, $G = R_+^*, G_n(R), GE_n^+(R)$), then a homomorphism $\lambda(\cdot) : G \to G$ is called a central homomorphism of G, if $\lambda(G) \subset Z(G)$. The mapping $\Omega(\cdot) : G \to G$ such that $\forall X \in G$*

$$\Omega(X) = \lambda(X) \cdot X,$$

where $\lambda(\cdot)$ is a central homomorphism, is called a central homothety.

For example, if $R = \mathbb{R}$ (the field of real numbers), then the homomorphism $\lambda(\cdot) : G_n(\mathbb{R}) \to G_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\forall A \in G_n(\mathbb{R})$ $\lambda(A) = |det\, A| \cdot I$, is a central homomorphism, and the mapping $\Omega(\cdot) : G_n(\mathbb{R}) \to G_n(\mathbb{R})$, such that $\forall A \in G_n(\mathbb{R})$ $\Omega(A) = |det\, A| \cdot A$, is a central homothety. Note that a central homothety $\Omega(\cdot)$ always is an endomorphism of the semigroup G: $\forall X, Y \in G$ $\Omega(X)\Omega(Y) = \lambda(X)X \cdot \lambda(Y)Y = \lambda(X)\lambda(Y)X \cdot Y = \lambda(XY)XY = \Omega(XY)$.

For every $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$ Φ_M denotes the automorphism of the semigroup $G_n(R)$ such that $\forall X \in G_n(R)$ $\Phi_M(X) = MXM^{-1}$.

For every $y(\cdot) \in Aut(R_+)$ by Φ^y we denote the automorphism of the semigroups $G_n(R)$ such that $\forall X = (x_{ij}) \in G_n(R)$ $\Phi^y(X) = \Phi^y((x_{ij})) = (y(x_{ij}))$.

The main result of our paper is the following

Theorem. *Let Φ be any automorphism of $G_n(R)$, $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$. Then on the semigroup $GE_n^+(R)$ $\Phi = \Phi_M \Phi^c \Omega$, where $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$, $c(\cdot) \in Aut(R_+)$, $\Omega(\cdot)$ is a central homothety of $GE_n^+(R)$.*

2 Construction of the automorphism Φ'

In this section we suppose that we have some automorphism $\Phi \in Aut(G_n(R))$, where $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$, and we construct a new automorphism $\Phi' \in Aut(G_n(R))$ such that $\Phi' = \Phi_{M'}\Phi$ for some $M' \in \Gamma_n(R)$ and for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ we have $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$.

The following lemma in even a more general case can be found in [2].

Lemma 1. *$\Gamma_n(R) = D_n(R) \cdot S_n$, i.e. the group $\Gamma_n(R)$ consists of all monomial matrices.*

Proof. It is clear that every monomial matrix is invertible, i.e. $D_n(R)S_n \subset \Gamma_n(R)$.

Now let us consider some $A = (a_{ij}) \in \Gamma_n(R)$. We need to show that in each its row (column) there is exactly one nonzero element. Suppose that it is not true, and the i-th row of A contains at least two nonzero (i. e. positive) elements $a_{i,k}$ and $a_{i,j}$. Let us consider the inverse matrix $B = (b_{l,m})$. Its k-th row is nonzero, therefore there exists l such that $b_{k,l} > 0$. Therefore

$$\delta_{il} = a_{i,1}b_{1,l} + \dots + a_{i,n}b_{n,l} \geq a_{i,k}b_{k,l} > 0,$$

and so $i = l$.

Similarly there exists m such that $b_{j,m} > 0$, i. e. $i = m$. Thus $l = m = i$. Therefore $b_{j,i} > 0$, $b_{k,i} > 0$.

The condition $I = BA$ implies

$$\delta_{j,k} = b_{j,1}a_{1,k} + \dots + b_{j,n}a_{n,k} \geq b_{j,i}a_{i,k} > 0.$$

Consequently $j = k$, which contradicts to the assumption that i-th row contains two non-zero entries. □

Note that the representation of every matrix $A \in \Gamma_n(R)$ in the form $A = DS_\sigma$, $D \in D_n(R)$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, is unique.

Lemma 2. *If $r \in R_+$ and $r^k = 1$ for some $k \geq 1$, then $r = 1$.*

Proof. We need to show that $x > 1$ implies $x^k > 1$, and $0 < x < 1$ implies $0 < x^k < 1$.

1) Let us prove by induction that

$$x > 1 \Rightarrow x^k > 1.$$

If $k = 1$, the condition is clear. Assume that the condition is proved for some k, i. e. $x > 1$, $x^k > 1$, therefore $x-1 \in R_+$, $x^k-1 \in R_+$, consequently, $x^{k+1}-x \in R_+ \Rightarrow (x^{k+1}-x)+(x-1) \in R_+ \Rightarrow x^{k+1}-1 \in R_+ \Rightarrow x^{k+1} > 1$.

2) Similarly let us prove by induction that

$$0 < x < 1 \Rightarrow 0 < x^k < 1.$$

If $k = 1$, then the condition is clear. Assume that the condition is proved for some k, i. e. $0 < x < 1 \Rightarrow 0 < x^k < 1$. Thus $x, x^k, 1-x, 1-x^k \in R_+$, therefore $x(1-x^k) = x - x^{k+1} \in R_+ \Rightarrow (1-x)+(x-x^{k+1}) = 1-x^{k+1} \in R_+$, i. e. $0 < x^{k+1} < 1$.

The condition is proved. □

It is clear that in the ring R there is no zero divizors.

The proof of the following lemma can be found in [1].

Lemma 3. *If A is an involution in $G_n(R)$, then $A = diag[t_1, \dots, t_n]S_\sigma$, where $\sigma^2 = 1$, and for every $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have $t_i \cdot t_{\sigma(i)} = 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 we have $A = dS_\sigma$, where $d = diag[d_1, \dots, d_n]$. Since $A^2 = I$, we have $dS_\sigma dS_\sigma = I \Rightarrow dS_\sigma = S_\sigma^{-1}d^{-1}S_\sigma S_\sigma^{-1}$. Since the representation of A in the form dS_σ is unique and $S_\sigma^{-1}d^{-1}S_\sigma \in D_n(R)$, we have $d = S_\sigma^{-1}d^{-1}S_\sigma$ and $S_\sigma = S_\sigma^{-1}$.

Therefore $\sigma^2 = 1$ and $diag[d_1, \dots, d_n] = diag[d_{\sigma(1)}^{-1}, \dots, d_{\sigma(n)}^{-1}]$, i. e. $t_i = t_{\sigma(i)}^{-1}$. □

Lemma 4. *If Φ is an automorphism of $G_n(R)$, where $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$, then*

1) $\Phi(\Gamma_n(R)) = \Gamma_n(R)$,
2) $\Phi(D_n(R)) = D_n(R)$,
3) $\Phi(D_n^Z(R)) = D_n^Z(R)$.

Proof. 1) Since $\Gamma_n(R)$ is the subgroup of all invertible matrices of $G_n(R)$, then $\Phi(\Gamma_n(R)) = \Gamma_n(R)$.

2) Consider the set $\mathcal{F}$ of all matrices $A \in \Gamma_n(R)$, commuting with all matrices conjugate to A.

Consider

$$A = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \in D_n^Z(R),$$

then every matrix conjugate to A has the form

$$S_{\sigma^{-1}} diag[d_1^{-1}, \dots, d_n^{-1}] diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] diag[d_1, \dots, d_n] S_\sigma = \\ = S_{\sigma^{-1}} diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] S_\sigma = diag[\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}],$$

i. e. it commutes with $A = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$.

If we consider

$$A = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \in D_n(R) \setminus D_n^Z(R),$$

then the matrix conjugate to A also is diagonal, but it is impossible to say, if it commutes with $A = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$, or not.

Now consider

$$A = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] S_\rho, \quad \rho \neq e, \ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in R_+^*.$$

Consider some

$$M = diag[d_1, \dots, d_n] \in D_n^Z(R),$$

to obtain a matrix conjugate to A.

We have

$$M^{-1}AM = diag[d_1^{-1}, \dots, d_n^{-1}]diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]S_\rho diag[d_1, \dots, d_n] =$$

$$= diag[d_{\rho^{-1}(1)}d_1^{-1}\alpha_1, \dots, d_{\rho^{-1}(n)}d_n^{-1}\alpha_n]S_\rho = diag[\gamma_1\alpha_1, \dots, \gamma_n\alpha_n]S_\rho,$$

where $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in Z_+^*(R^*)$.

We have the conditions

$$A(M^{-1}AM) = diag[\gamma_{\rho^{-1}(1)}\alpha_1\alpha_{\rho^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \gamma_{\rho^{-1}(n)}\alpha_n\alpha_{\rho^{-1}(n)}]S_{\rho^2},$$
$$(M^{-1}AM)A = diag[\gamma_1\alpha_1\alpha_{\rho^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \gamma_n\alpha_n\alpha_{\rho^{-1}(1)}]S_{\rho^2}.$$

Since $\rho \neq e$, we have that there exists such $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ that $j = \rho^{-1}(i) \neq i$. In this case we can take

$$d_k = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } k = j, \\ 1, & \text{if } k \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$\gamma_k = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } k = i, \\ 1/2, & \text{if } k = j, \\ 1, & \text{if } k \neq i \text{ and } k \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$A(M^{-1}AM) \neq (M^{-1}AM)A.$$

So the condition

$$(A \in \Gamma_n(R)) \wedge (\forall M \in \Gamma_n(R)\ (M^{-1}AM)A = A(M^{-1}AM))$$

holds for all elements of $D_n^Z(R)$, possibly can hold for some elements of $D_n(R) \setminus D_n^Z(R)$ and never holds for elements of $\Gamma_n(R) \setminus D_n(R)$.

It is clear that $\Phi(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$.

Introduce on the set $\mathcal{F}$ the additional condition

$$(A \in \mathcal{F}) \wedge (\forall M \in \Gamma_n(R)\ (M \neq I \wedge M^{n!} = I \Rightarrow AM \neq MA)), \tag{1}$$

i.e. “A does not commute with any non-unit matrix of finite order”.

It is clear that if $A \in D_n(R)$ has two equal elements on its i-th and j-th diagonal places, then it commutes with $S_{(i,j)}$.

If $A \in D_n^Z(R)$ has all distinct entries on its diagonal, then it satisfies the condition (1).

Besides, this condition can be satisfied for some matrices from $D_n(R) \setminus D_n^Z(R)$, but they also must have distinct entries on the diagonal. Let us denote the set of all matrices satisfying the condition (1), by $\mathcal{L}$. It is clear that $\Phi(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$.

Let us consider the set

$$\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{L}} C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(M),$$

i. e. the set of all invertible matrices commuting with some matrix from $\mathcal{L}$.

Let us show that $\mathcal{X} = D_n(R)$.

To prove $\mathcal{X} \subset D_n(R)$ note that every $M \in \mathcal{L}$ has distinct values on its diagonal, therefore if $AM = MA$, then $A \in D_n(R)$.

To prove that $D_n(R) \subset \mathcal{X}$ consider the matrix

$$M = diag[2, 2^2, \dots, 2^n] \in D_n^Z(R).$$

It is clear that $M \in \mathcal{L}$ and $C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(M) = D_n(R)$. Since $C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(M) \subset \mathcal{X}$, we have $D_n(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{X}$.

Consequently $\mathcal{X} = D_n(R)$.

It is clear that $\Phi(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$. Therefore $\Phi(D_n(R)) = D_n(R)$.

3) Since $C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(D_n(R)) = D_n^Z(R)$ and $\Phi(D_n(R)) = D_n(R)$, we have $\Phi(D_n^Z(R)) = D_n^Z(R)$. $\square$

Lemma 5. *If Φ is an automorphism of $G_n(R)$, $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$, then there exists $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$ such that $\Phi_M\Phi(K_n(R)) = K_n(R)$, where for all $X \in G_n(R)$*

$$\Phi_M(X) = MXM^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let us consider a matrix

$$A = diag[\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta] \in D_n^Z(R), \quad \alpha \neq \beta.$$

Suppose that

$$B = \Phi(A) = diag[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] \in D_n^Z(R).$$

It is clear that

$$C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(A)/D_n(R) \cong \Sigma_{n-1},$$

hence

$$\Phi(C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(A))/\Phi(D_n(R)) = C_{\Gamma_n(R)}(B)/D_n(R) \cong \Sigma_{n-1}.$$

Therefore

$$B = diag[\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \delta, \gamma, \dots, \gamma], \quad \gamma \neq \delta.$$

Thus there exists $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ such that

$$\widetilde{B} = S_\sigma B S_{\sigma^{-1}} = [\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \delta].$$

We have $C_{G_n(R)}(A) \subseteq K$ and $C_{G_n(R)}(\widetilde{B}) \subseteq K$. Besides, there exists such a matrix A (for example, $diag[1, \dots, 1, 2]$), that $C_{G_n(R)}(A) = K_n(R)$, i. e.

$$K_n(R) = \bigcup_{A = diag[\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta] \in D_n^Z(R), \alpha \neq \beta} C_{G_n(R)}(A),$$

$$K_n(R) = \bigcup_{A = diag[\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta] \in D_n^Z(R), \alpha \neq \beta} C_{G_n(R)}(S_\sigma \Phi(A) S_\sigma^{-1}),$$

since Φ is an automorphism.

Consider $M = S_\sigma$, $\Phi' = \Phi_M \circ \Phi$. For every $A = diag[\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta] \in D_n^Z(R)$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, we have $\Phi'(A) = diag[\gamma, \dots, \gamma, \delta] \in D_n^Z(R)$, $\gamma \neq \delta$, and

$$\Phi'(K_n(R)) = \Phi' \left(\bigcup_{A = diag[\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta] \in D_n^Z(R), \alpha \neq \beta} C_{G_n(R)}(A) \right) =$$

$$= \bigcup_{A = diag[\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta] \in D_n^Z(R), \alpha \neq \beta} \Phi'(C_{G_n(R)}(A)) = \bigcup_{A = diag[\alpha, \dots, \alpha, \beta] \in D_n^Z(R), \alpha \neq \beta} C_{G_n(R)}(\Phi'(A)) = K_n(R).$$

Therefore $\Phi_M\Phi(K_n(R)) = K_n(R)$. $\square$

Lemma 6. *If Φ is an automorphism of $G_n(R)$, then by Lemma 4, since $\Phi(\Gamma_n(R)) = \Gamma_n(R)$, for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ we have*

$$\Phi(S_\sigma) = D_\sigma S_{\varphi(\sigma)},$$

where $D_\sigma \in D_n(R)$. The obtained mapping $\varphi : \Sigma_n \to \Sigma_n$ is an endomorphism of the group Σ_n.

Proof. For all $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(S_{\sigma_1} \cdot S_{\sigma_2}) &= \Phi(S_{\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2}) = D_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} \cdot S_{\varphi(\sigma_1\sigma_2)}, \\
\Phi(S_{\sigma_1}) \cdot \Phi(S_{\sigma_2}) &= D_{\sigma_1} S_{\varphi(\sigma_1)} D_{\sigma_2} S_{\varphi(\sigma_2)} = D_{\sigma_1} \cdot D'_{\sigma_2} S_{\varphi(\sigma_1)\varphi(\sigma_2)}, \\
\Phi(S_{\sigma_1} \cdot S_{\sigma_2}) &= \Phi(S_{\sigma_1}) \cdot \Phi(S_{\sigma_2}),
\end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\varphi(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = \varphi(\sigma_1)\varphi(\sigma_2).$$

Since $\Phi(D_n(R)) = D_n(R)$ (see Lemma 4(2)), we have that $\varphi \in Aut(\Sigma_n)$. Actually, if $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_n$, $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$, $\sigma = \varphi(\sigma_1) = \varphi(\sigma_2)$, then

$$\Phi(S_{\sigma_1}) = D_{\sigma_1} S_\sigma,\ \Phi(S_{\sigma_2}) = D_{\sigma_2} S_\sigma \Rightarrow \Phi(S_{\sigma_1} \cdot S_{\sigma_2^{-1}}) = D_{\sigma_1} S_\sigma S_{\sigma^{-1}} D_{\sigma_2}^{-1} \in D_n(R).$$

So for some $\rho \neq e$ we have

$$\Phi(S_\rho) \in D_n(R),$$

but it is impossible. □

The proof of the following lemma is completely similar to the proof of Proposition 10 from [1].

Lemma 7. *If Φ is an automorphism of $G_n(R)$, $1/2 \in R$, $n \geq 3$, then there exists a matrix $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$ such that $\Phi_M \Phi(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$.*

Proof. 1) Let $n \neq 6$. Consider the automorphism $\varphi \in Aut(\Sigma_n)$ introduced in lemma 6. Since for $n \neq 6$ all automorphisms of the group Σ_n are inner, then there exists a permutation $\rho \in \Sigma_n$ such that for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$

$$\varphi(\sigma) = \rho\sigma\rho^{-1}.$$

Therefore for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$

$$\Phi(S_\sigma) = D_\sigma S_{\rho\sigma\rho^{-1}} = D_\sigma S_\rho S_\sigma S_{\rho^{-1}} = S_\rho D'_\sigma S_\sigma S_\rho.$$

Then for $M' = S_\rho^{-1}$

$$\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n \quad \Phi_{M'} \Phi(S_\sigma) = D'_\sigma S_\sigma.$$

2) Let $n = 6$. Consider some automorphism $\Phi_1 = \Phi_{M_1} \Phi$ of $G_6(R)$ such that $\Phi_1(K_n(R)) = K_n(R)$, which exists by Lemma 5. Let φ_1 be the automorphism of Σ_6 defined by Φ_1. Note that for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_6$ the matrix $S_\sigma \in K_n(R)$ iff $\sigma(6) = 6$. Therefore φ_1 induces an inner automorphism of the group $\Sigma(1, \dots, 5)$, i.e. there exists $\tau \in \Sigma(1, \dots, 5)$ such that for every $\sigma \in \Sigma(1, \dots, 5)$ we have $\varphi_1(\sigma) = \tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$.

Consider an automorphism $\Phi_2 = \Phi_{M_2} \circ \Phi_1$ of $G_6(R)$, where $M_2 = S_\tau^{-1}$. For every $\sigma \in \Sigma(1, \dots, 5)$ we have

$$\Phi_2(S_\sigma) = S_\tau^{-1} \Phi_1(S_\sigma) S_\tau = S_\tau^{-1} D_\sigma S_{\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}} S_\tau = D'_\sigma S_\sigma.$$

Let φ_2 be an automorphism of Σ_6 associated with Φ_2. Note that $\Phi_2(K_n(R)) = K_n(R)$ and $\varphi_2(\sigma) = \sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma(1, \dots, 5)$.

Let us prove that φ_2 is an identical automorphism of Σ_6. Let $\delta = \varphi_2((1,6))$. It is clear that δ is an odd substitution, and either $\delta = (1,6)$, or $\delta = (1,6)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)(\beta_1, \beta_2)$. If $\delta = (1,6)$, then for every $i = 2, \dots, 5$

$$\varphi_2((i,6)) = \varphi_2((1,6)(1,i)(1,6)) = (1,6)(1,i)(1,6) = (i,6).$$

Since the group Σ_n is generated by transpositions and for every transposition σ $\varphi_2(\sigma) = \sigma$, we have that for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_6$ $\varphi_2(\sigma) = \sigma$, i.e. φ_2 is identical.

Let us show that the second case is impossible. Actually, in this case $\varphi_2((1,6)) = \varphi_2((\alpha_2, \beta_1)(1,6)(\alpha_2, \beta_1)) = (\alpha_2, \beta_1)(1,6)(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)(\beta_1, \beta_2)(\alpha_2, \beta_1) = (1,6)(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2) \neq \varphi(1,6)$.

Therefore for the matrix $M' = M_2 M_1$ we have $\Phi_{M'}\Phi(S_\sigma) = D_\sigma S_\sigma$ for every $S_\sigma \in S_n$.

3) Now for every $n \geq 3$ we have some $M' \in \Gamma_n(R)$ such that $\Phi_{M'}\Phi(S_\sigma) = D_\sigma S_\sigma$ for every matrix $S_\sigma \in S_n$.

Consider $\rho = (1, 2, \dots, n) \in \Sigma_n$. Let $\Phi_{M'}\Phi(S_\rho) = D_\rho S_\rho$, where $D_\rho = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$. The equality $S_\rho^n = I$ implies $(D_\rho S_\rho)^n = I$, and then $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n = 1$. Consider a matrix $T = diag[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, where $t_i = (\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1} \dots \alpha_n)^{-1}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and the automorphism $\Phi_3 = \Phi_T \Phi_M \Phi$. We see that $\Phi_3(S_\rho) = diag[t_1, \dots, t_n] diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] S_\rho diag[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1}] = diag[t_1 \alpha_1 t_2^{-1}, t_2 \alpha_2 t_3^{-1}, \dots . t_n \alpha_n t_1^{-1}] S_\rho = S_\rho$ and for every permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ we have $\Phi_3(S_\sigma) = \widetilde{D}_\sigma S_\sigma$, where $\widetilde{D}_\sigma \in D_n(R)$. Let now $\tau = (1,2)$. Then S_τ is an involution. According to Lemma 3, we obtain $\Phi_3(S_\tau) = \widetilde{D}_\tau S_\tau$, where

$$\widetilde{D}_\tau = diag[\beta, \beta^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1] \quad (\beta \in R_+^*).$$

The condition $\rho = (n, n-1)(n-1, n-2) \dots (2,1)$ implies $S_\rho = S_\tau^{\rho^{n-2}} \dots S_\tau$, where $S_\tau^\delta = S_\delta^{-1} S_\tau S_\delta$. Consequently, $S_\rho = \Phi_3(S_\tau^{\rho^{n-2}} \dots S_\tau) = (D_\tau S_\tau)^{\rho^{n-2}} \dots D_\tau S_\tau$.

Comparing nonzero elements, we obtain $\beta^n = 1$, hence $\beta = 1$ (see Lemma 2). Therefore $\Phi_3(S_\tau) = S_\tau$. Since ρ and τ generate Σ_n, we have $\Phi_3(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$. □

3 The action of Φ' on diagonal matrices.

In the previous section by our automorphism Φ we have constructed a new automorphism $\Phi' = \Phi_M \Phi$ such that $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$. We will suppose that this automorphism Φ' is fixed.

Lemma 8. *If $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$, the automorphism $\Phi' \in Aut(G_n(R))$ is such that $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$ $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$, then for all $\alpha, \beta \in R_+^*$ we have*

$$\Phi'(diag[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta]) = diag[\gamma, \delta, \dots, \delta], \quad \gamma, \delta \in R_+^*.$$

If $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $\gamma \neq \delta$. If $\alpha, \beta \in Z_+^*(R^*)$, then $\gamma, \delta \in Z_+^*(R^*)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4

$$\Phi'(diag[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta]) = diag[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n].$$

Since $\Phi'(S_{(i,i+1)}) = S_{(i,i+1)}$ for all $i = 2, \dots, n-1$, then for all $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ we have

$$\Phi'(diag[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta]) \Phi'(S_{(i,i+1)}) = \Phi'(S_{(i,i+1)}) \Phi'(diag[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta]) \Rightarrow$$
$$diag[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] S_{(i,i+1)} = S_{(i,i+1)} diag[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n] \Rightarrow \gamma_i = \gamma_{i+1}.$$

Therefore $\gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = \dots = \gamma_{n-1} = \gamma_n$ and we can assume that

$$\Phi'(diag[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta]) = diag[\gamma, \delta, \dots, \delta].$$

If $\alpha \neq \beta$, then

$$diag[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta] S_{(1,2)} \neq S_{(1,2)} diag[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta] \Rightarrow diag[\gamma, \delta, \dots, \delta] S_{(1,2)} \neq S_{(1,2)} diag[\gamma, \delta, \dots, \delta] \Rightarrow \gamma \neq \delta.$$

If $\alpha, \beta \in Z^*(R^*)$, then $diag[\alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta] \in D_n^Z(R)$, and by Lemma 4(3) $diag[\gamma, \delta, \dots, \delta] \in D_n^Z(R^*)$, thus $\gamma, \delta \in Z^*(R^*)$. □

Lemma 9. *If $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$, the automorphism $\Phi' \in Aut(G_n(R)$ is such that $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$ $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$, then for all $X \in G_2(R)$ we have*

$$\Phi' \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots\dots & & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots\dots & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a & \dots & 0 \\ \dots\dots & & \ddots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots\dots & & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } Y \in G_2(R), a \in Z_+^*(R^*).$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 8 we can prove that for every

$$A = diag[\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta] \in D_n(R), \quad \alpha \neq \beta,$$

we have

$$\Phi'(A) = diag[\gamma, \gamma, \delta, \dots, \delta] \in D_n(R), \quad \gamma \neq \delta.$$

Consider now the set $\mathcal{L}$ of all involutions of the form

$$diag[\xi, \xi^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1] S_{(1,2)}, \quad \xi \in R_+^*.$$

For every such an involution M we have

$$N = \Phi'(M) = \Phi'(diag[\xi, \xi^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1]) S_{(1,2)}$$

and N is an involution. By Lemma 3

$$N = diag[\eta, \eta^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1] S_{(1,2)}.$$

If $\xi \in Z_+^*(R^*)$, then $\eta \in Z_+^*(R^*)$, if $\xi \notin Z_+^*(R^*)$, then $\eta \notin Z_+^*(R^*)$. We see that $\Phi'(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$.

The set of all matrices of the form

$$diag[\alpha, \alpha, \beta, \dots, \beta], \quad \alpha, \beta \in R_+^*,\ \alpha \neq \beta$$

will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}$. We know that $\Phi'(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$. Therefore

$$\Phi'(C_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{L}) = C_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{L},$$

i.e. for every $\mu \in Z_+^*(R^*)$, $\eta \in R_+^*$ we have

$$\Phi'(diag[\mu, \mu, \eta, \dots, \eta]) = diag[\mu', \mu', \eta', \dots, \eta'],$$

where $\mu' \in Z_+^*(R^*)$, $\eta' \in R_+^*$ and if $\eta \in R_+^* \setminus Z_+^*(R^*)$, then $\eta' \in R_+^* \setminus Z_+^*(R^*)$.

By $\mathcal{Z}$ we will denote the set of all matrices

$$\alpha I = diag[\alpha, \dots, \alpha], \quad \alpha \in Z_+^*(R^*).$$

It is clear that $\Phi'(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{Z}$.

Consider some matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & & \\ 0 & a & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad X \in G_2(R), a \in Z_+^*(R^*).$$

This matrix satisfies the condition

$$\forall M \in C_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{L}\ \exists N \in \mathcal{Z}\ A(MN) = (MN)A \wedge AS_{(3,4)} = S_{(3,4)}A \wedge \dots \wedge AS_{(n-1,n)} = S_{(n-1,n)}A. \tag{2}$$

Actually, every $M \in C_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{L}$ has the form

$$M = diag[\mu, \mu, \eta, \ldots, \eta], \quad \mu \in Z_+^*(R^*), \eta \in R_+^*.$$

If $MA = AM$, we can take $N = I$. If $MA \neq AM$, i.e. $\mu \in Z_+^*(R^*) \setminus Z_+^*(R)$ and $X diag[\mu, \mu] \neq diag[\mu, \mu]X$, then we can take

$$N = diag[\mu^{-1}, \ldots, \mu^{-1}] \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Then $MN = diag[1, 1, \eta\mu^{-1}, \ldots, \eta\mu^{-1}]$ and $A(MN) = (MN)A$.

If some matrix A satisfies the condition (2), then the part

$$AS_{(3,4)} = S_{(3,4)}A \wedge \cdots \wedge AS_{(n-1,n)} = S_{(n-1,n)}A$$

implies

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & & \\ 0 & a & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad X \in G_2(R), a \in R_+^*.$$

If $a \in R_+^* \setminus Z_+^*(R^*)$, then there exists $b \in R_+^*$ such that $ab \neq ba$, therefore for

$$M = diag[1, 1, b, \ldots, b] \in C_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{L}$$

we have $MA \neq AM$. For every $N = diag[\alpha, \ldots, \alpha] \in \mathcal{Z}$ we have $A(MN) \neq (MN)A$, since $ab\alpha \neq b\alpha a$. Thus the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & & \\ 0 & a & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a \in R_+^* \setminus Z_+^*(R^*)$ can not satisfy the condition (2). So we have $a \in Z_+^*(R^*)$.

Therefore we see that a matrix A has the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & & \\ 0 & a & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad X \in G_2(R), a \in Z_+^*(R^*)$$

iff it satisfies the condition (2).

Since $\Phi'(S_{(i,i+1)}) = S_{(i,i+1)}$ for all $i = 3, \ldots, n-1$, $\Phi'(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{Z}$, $\Phi'(C_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{L}) = C_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{M}$, we obtain that if a matrix A satisfies (2), then the matrix $\Phi'(A)$ satisfies (2).

Consequently, for $X \in G_2(R)$, $a \in Z_+^*(R^*)$ we have

$$\Phi' \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & & \\ 0 & a & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y & 0 & & \\ 0 & b & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y \in G_2(R), b \in Z_+^*(R^*).$$

□

Lemma 10. *If $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$, the automorphism $\Phi' \in Aut(G_n(R))$ is such that $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$ $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$, then for every $x \in Z_+^*(R)$*

$$\Phi'(diag[x, 1, \ldots, 1]) = diag[\xi, \eta, \ldots, \eta], \quad \xi, \eta \in Z_+^*(R).$$

Proof. Since $x \in Z_+^*(R)$, we have that $x \in Z_+^*(R^*)$, therefore $A = diag[x, 1, \dots, 1] \in D_n^Z(R)$, then by Lemma 8 $A' = \Phi'(A) = diag[\xi, \eta, \dots, \eta]$, where $\xi, \eta \in Z_+^*(R^*)$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ denote the set of all matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \multicolumn{2}{c}{\dots\dots\dots} \\ \dots\dots & & a & 0 \\ \dots\dots & & 0 & X \end{pmatrix}, \quad X \in G_2(R), a \in Z_+^*(R^*).$$

It is clear that $\Phi'(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathcal{Y}$ (the proof is completely similar to the proof of Lemma 9).

Let $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ denote the center of the semigroup $G_n(R)$. It is clear that

$$\overline{\mathcal{Z}} = \{\alpha I | \alpha \in Z_+^*(R)\}.$$

We have $\Phi'(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Every matrix $A = diag[x, 1, \dots, 1]$, $x \in Z_+^*(R)$ satisfies the condition

$$\forall M \in \mathcal{Y} \; MA = AM. \tag{3}$$

The matrix $A' = \Phi'(A)$ also satisfies the condition (3), therefore

$$\forall M \in \mathcal{Y} \quad M \cdot diag[\xi, \eta, \dots, \eta] = diag[\xi, \eta, \dots, \eta] \cdot M,$$

or

$$\forall X \in G_2(R) \quad X \circ diag[\eta, \eta] = diag[\eta, \eta] \circ X,$$

thus $\eta \in Z_+^*(R)$.

Now we need to prove that $\xi \in Z_+^*(R)$.

We have

$$\Phi'(diag[1, x, 1, \dots, 1]) = \Phi'(S_{(1,2)} diag[x, 1, \dots, 1] S_{(1,2)}) = S_{(1,2)} diag[\xi, \eta, \dots, \eta] S_{(1,2)} = diag[\eta, \xi, \eta, \dots, \eta]$$

and, similarly,

$$\Phi'(diag[1, 1, x, 1, \dots, 1]) = diag[\eta, \eta, \xi, \eta, \dots, \eta], \dots, \Phi'(diag[1, \dots, 1, x]) = diag[\eta, \dots, \eta, \xi].$$

Consequently,

$$\Phi'(x \cdot I) = \Phi'(diag[x, 1, \dots, 1] \cdot diag[1, x, \dots, 1] \dots diag[1, \dots, 1, x]) = \xi \eta^{n-1} \cdot I.$$

Since $x \in Z_+^*(R)$, we have that $\xi \eta^{n-1} \in Z_+^*(R)$. Since (as we just have proved) $\eta \in Z_+^*(R)$, we have that $\eta^{n-1} \in Z_+^*(R)$, therefore $\xi \in Z_+^*(R)$, as we needed to prove. $\square$

Lemma 11. *If $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$, the automorphism $\Phi' \in Aut(G_n(R))$ is such that $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$ $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$, then for every $x_1, x_2 \in Z_+^*(R)$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$,*

$$\Phi'(A_1) = \Phi'(diag[x_1, 1, \dots, 1]) = diag[\xi_1, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_1],$$
$$\Phi'(A_2) = \Phi'(diag[x_2, 1, \dots, 1]) = diag[\xi_2, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_2]$$

we have $\xi_1 \eta_1^{-1} \neq \xi_2 \eta_2^{-1}$.

Proof. Suppose that for some distinct $x_1, x_2 \in Z_+^*(R)$ we have $\xi_1 \eta_1^{-1} = \xi_2 \eta_2^{-1}$, i.e.

$$\Phi'(A_1) = \Phi'(diag[x_1, 1, \dots, 1]) = diag[\xi, \eta, \dots, \eta] = A_1',$$
$$\Phi'(A_2) = \Phi'(diag[x_2, 1, \dots, 1]) = \alpha \cdot diag[\xi, \eta, \dots, \eta] = A_2',$$

where $\xi, \eta, \alpha \in Z_+^*(R)$ (see Lemma 10). Therefore ${\Phi'}^{-1}(\alpha I) = {\Phi'}^{-1}(A_1' {A_2'}^{-1}) = diag[x_1 x_2^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1]) = diag[\beta, 1, \dots, 1]$, where $1 \neq \beta \in Z_+^*(R)$, but it is impossible, since ${\Phi'}^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}) = \overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ (see the proof of Lemma 10). Thus $\xi_1 \eta_1^{-1} \neq \xi_2 \eta_2^{-1}$. $\square$

4 The main theorem.

In this section we will prove the main theorem (Theorem 1).

Recall (see Definition 8) that for $x \in R_+$

$$B_{12}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{21}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & & \\ x & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 12. *If $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$, the automorphism $\Phi' \in Aut(G_n(R))$ is such that $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$ $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$, then there are two possibilities*:

1) *there exists some mapping $c(\cdot) : R_+ \to R_+$ such that for all $x \in R_+$ $\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = B_{12}(c(x))$;*

2) *there exists some mapping $b(\cdot) : R_+ \to R_+$ such that for all $x \in R_+$ $\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = B_{21}(b(x))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 9 we have

$$\Phi'(B_{12}(1)) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & & & \\ \gamma & \delta & & & \\ & & a & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in Z_+^*(R^*), \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in G_2(R)$$

(see Lemma 9).

Let for every $x \in R_+^*$

$$\Phi'(diag[x, 1, \dots, 1)] = diag[\xi(x), \gamma(x), \dots, \gamma(x)], \quad \xi(x), \eta(x) \in R_+^*$$

(see Lemma 8).

Then for every $x \in Z_+^*(R)$

$$\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = \Phi'(diag[x, 1, \dots, 1]B_{12}(1)diag[x^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1]) =$$

$$= diag[\xi(x), \eta(x), \dots, \eta(x)] \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & & & \\ \gamma & \delta & & & \\ & & a & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix} diag[\xi(x)^{-1}, \eta(x)^{-1}, \dots, \eta(x)^{-1}] =$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \xi(x)\alpha\xi(x)^{-1} & \xi(x)\beta\eta(x)^{-1} & & & \\ \eta(x)\gamma\xi(x)^{-1} & \eta(x)\delta\eta(x)^{-1} & & & \\ & & a & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since by Lemma 10 $\xi(x), \eta(x) \in Z_+^*(R)$, we have $\xi(x)\alpha\xi(x)^{-1} = \alpha$, $\xi(x)\beta\eta(x)^{-1} = \xi(x)\eta(x)^{-1}\beta$, $\eta(x)\gamma\xi(x)^{-1} = \eta(x)\xi(x)^{-1}\gamma$, $\eta(x)\delta\eta(x)^{-1} = \delta$, thus

$$\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \nu(x)\beta & & & \\ \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma & \delta & & & \\ & & a & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\nu(x) = \xi(x)\eta(x)^{-1}$.

By Lemma 11 for $x_1 \neq x_2$ we have $\nu(x_1) \neq \nu(x_2)$.

For every $x \in R_+$ $\Phi'(B_{12}(1))$ and $\Phi'(B_{12}(x))$ commute. Let us write this condition in the matrix form for $x \in Z_+^*(R)$ (recall that in this case $\nu(x) \in Z_+^*(R)$ by Lemma 10):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \nu(x)\beta \\ \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \nu(x)\beta \\ \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 + \nu(x)^{-1}\beta\gamma & \nu(x)\alpha\beta + \beta\delta \\ \gamma\alpha + \nu(x)^{-1}\delta\gamma & \nu(x)\gamma\beta + \delta^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 + \nu(x)\beta\gamma & \alpha\beta + \nu(x)\beta\delta \\ \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma\alpha + \delta\gamma & \nu(x)^{-1}\gamma\beta + \delta^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $\nu(x)^{-1}\beta\gamma = \nu(x)\beta\gamma$ for distinct $x \in Z_+^*(R)$ (for example, for $x = 2, 2^2, \dots$). By Lemma 11 $\nu(x) \neq 1$ for $x \neq 1$, therefore $\nu(x) \neq \nu(x)^{-1}$ for $x \neq 1$ and $\beta\gamma = 0$, i.e. either $\beta = 0$, or $\gamma = 0$.

Suppose that $\gamma = 0$ (the case $\beta = 0$ is similar).

Then

$$\Phi'(B_{12}(1)) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & & & \\ 0 & \delta & & & \\ & & a & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \in Z_+^*(R^*),\ \alpha, \delta \in R_+^*, \beta \in R_+ \cup \{0\}.$$

Let us use the condition $(B_{12}(1))^2 = diag[2, 1, \dots, 1]B_{12}(1) \cdot diag[1/2, 1, \dots, 1]$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \alpha\beta + \beta\delta & & & \\ 0 & \delta^2 & & & \\ & & a^2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \nu(2)\beta & & & \\ 0 & \delta & & & \\ & & a & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & a \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies $\alpha = \delta = a = 1$, $\nu(2) = 2$. Therefore we have $\Phi'(B_{12}(1)) = B_{12}(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in R_+$.

Similarly, if $\beta = 0$, then $\Phi'(B_{12}(1)) = B_{21}(\gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in R_+$.

Consider the case $\gamma = 0$ (the case $\beta = 0$ is similar). Since for every $x \in R_+$ $\Phi'(B_{12}(x))$ commutes with $\Phi(B_{12}(1))$, with $S_{(i,i+1)}$ for $i = 3, \dots, n-1$, and with $diag[1, 1, \mu_3, \dots, \mu_n]$ for $\mu_3, \dots, \mu_n \in R_+^*$, we have

$$\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) & & & \\ 0 & a(x) & & & \\ & & d(x) & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & d(x) \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } a(x), b(x) \in R_+, d(x) \in Z_+^*(R^*).$$

Now we will use the condition

$$(B_{12}(x))^2 = diag[2, 1, \dots, 1]B_{12}(x)diag[1/2, 1, \dots, 1] \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(x)^2 & a(x)b(x) + b(x)a(x) & & & \\ 0 & a(x)^2 & & & \\ & & d(x)^2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & d(x)^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & 2b(x) & & & \\ 0 & a(x) & & & \\ & & d(x) & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & d(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently $a(x) = d(x) = 1$ for every $x \in R_+$. Therefore, if $\gamma = 0$, then $\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = B_{12}(b(x))$ for every $x \in R_+$. Similarly, in the case $\beta = 0$ we have $\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = B_{21}(c(x))$ for every $x \in R_+$. $\square$

Now the cases $\gamma = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ will be considered separately. In the next lemma we will prove that the case $\beta = 0$ is impossible.

Lemma 13. *If $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$, the automorphism $\Phi' \in Aut(G_n(R))$ is such that $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$ $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$, then the condition*

$$\Phi'(B_{12}(1)) = B_{21}(c(1)) \quad (= B_{21}(\gamma))$$

is impossible.

Proof. If $\Phi'(B_{12}(1)) = B_{21}(\gamma) = B_{21}(c(1))$ for some $\gamma = c(1) \in R_+$, then by the previous lemma there exists such a mapping $c(\cdot) : R_+ \to R_+$, that for every $x \in R_+$ we have $\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = B_{21}(c(x))$. Since $n \geq 3$ and $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma_n$ $\Phi'(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$, we have

$$\Phi'(B_{13}(x)) = \Phi'(S_{(2,3)} B_{12}(x) S_{(2,3)}) = S_{(2,3)} B_{21}(c(x)) S_{(2,3)} = B_{31}(c(x)).$$

Similarly, $\Phi'(B_{32}(x)) = B_{23}(c(x))$.

Let us use the condition

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in R_+ \quad B_{13}(x_1) B_{32}(x_2) = B_{32}(x_2) B_{13}(x_1) B_{12}(x_1 x_2).$$

It implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi'(B_{13}(x_1)B_{32}(x_2)) &= \Phi'(B_{32}(x_2)B_{13}(x_1)B_{12}(x_1x_2)) \Rightarrow \\
&\Rightarrow B_{31}(c(x_1))B_{23}(c(x_2)) = B_{23}(c(x_2))B_{31}(c(x_1))B_{21}(c(x_1x_2)) \Rightarrow \\
&\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & c(x_2) \\ c(x_1) & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c(x_2)c(x_1) + c(x_1x_2) & 1 & c(x_2) \\ c(x_1) & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \\
&\Rightarrow \forall x_1, x_2 \in R_+ \quad c(x_2)c(x_1) + c(x_1x_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \\
&\Rightarrow \forall x \in R_+ \quad c(x)^2 + c(x^2) = 0 \Rightarrow c(x) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

but it is impossible, since Φ' is an autmorphism. □

Recall (see Definition 11) that if G is some semigroup, then a homomorphism $\lambda(\cdot) : G \to G$ is called a *central homomorphism* of G, if $\lambda(G) \subset Z(G)$. The mapping $\Omega(\cdot) : G \to G$ such that $\forall X \in G$

$$\Omega(X) = \lambda(X) \cdot X,$$

where $\lambda(\cdot)$ is a central homomorphism, is called a *central homothety*.

Recall that for every $y(\cdot) \in Aut(R_+)$ by Φ^y we denote the automorphism of the semigroups $G_n(R)$ such that $\forall X = (x_{ij}) \in G_n(R)$ $\Phi^y(X) = \Phi^y((x_{ij})) = (y(x_{ij}))$.

Theorem 1. *Let Φ be any automorphism of $G_n(R)$, $n \geq 3$, $1/2 \in R$. Then on the semigroup $GE_n^+(R)$ (see Definition 10) $\Phi = \Phi_M \Phi^c \Omega$, where $M \in \Gamma_n(R)$, $c(\cdot) \in Aut(R_+)$, $\Omega(\cdot)$ is a central homothety of $GE_n^+(R)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6 there exists such a matrix $M' \in \Gamma_n(R)$ that for every $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$

$$\Phi'(S_\sigma) = \Phi_{M'} \Phi(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma.$$

Consider now the automorphism Φ'.

By Lemmas 12 and 13 there exists a mapping $c(\cdot) : R_+ \to R_+$ such that for every $x \in R_+$

$$\Phi'(B_{12}(x)) = B_{12}(c(x)).$$

Let us consider this mapping. Since Φ is an automorphism of the semigroup $G_n(R)$, we have that $c(\cdot) : R_+ \to R_+$ is bijective.

Since for all $x_1, x_2 \in R_+$ $B_{12}(x_1 + x_2) = B_{12}(x_1)B_{12}(x_2)$, we have $B_{12}(c(x_1 + x_2)) = \Phi'(B_{12}(x_1 + x_2)) = \Phi'(B_{12}(x_1)B_{12}(x_2)) = \Phi'(B_{12}(x_1))\Phi'(B_{12}(x_2)) = B_{12}(c(x_1)) \cdot B_{12}(c(x_2)) = B_{12}(c(x_1) + c(x_2))$, therefore for all $x_1, x_2 \in R_+$ $c(x_1 + x_2) = c(x_1) + c(x_2)$ and $c(\cdot)$ is additive.

To prove the multiplicativity of $c(\cdot)$ we will use the following:

1) $\Phi'(B_{13}(x)) = \Phi'(S_{(2,3)}B_{12}(x)S_{(2,3)}) = S_{(2,3)}) = S_{(2,3)}B_{12}(c(x))S_{(2,3)} = B_{13}(c(x))$;

2) similarly, $\Phi'(B_{32}(x)) = B_{32}(c(x))$;

3) (compare with the proof of Lemma 13)

$$\begin{aligned}
&B_{13}(x_1)B_{32}(x_2) = B_{32}(x_2)B_{13}(x_1)B_{12}(x_1x_2) \Rightarrow \\
&\qquad \Rightarrow \Phi'(B_{13}(x_1))\Phi'(B_{32}(x_2)) = \Phi'(B_{32}(x_2))\Phi'(B_{13}(x_1))\Phi'(B_{12}(x_1x_2)) \Rightarrow \\
&\qquad \Rightarrow B_{13}(c(x_1))B_{32}(c(x_2)) = B_{32}(c(x_2))B_{13}(c(x_1))B_{12}(c(x_1x_2)) \Rightarrow \\
&\qquad \Rightarrow \forall x_1, x_2 \in R_+ \ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c(x_1)c(x_2) & c(x_1) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c(x_2) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c(x_1x_2) & c(x_1) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c(x_2) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \\
&\qquad\qquad \Rightarrow \forall x_1, x_2 \in R_+ \ c(x_1x_2) = c(x_1)c(x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $c(\cdot)$ is a multiplicative mapping.

Since $c(\cdot)$ is a bijective, additive and multiplicative mapping, we have that $c(\cdot)$ is an automorphism of the semiring R_+, or, in other words, $c(\cdot)$ can be extended to an automorphism of the ring R, preserving the order.

Consider now the mapping $\Phi^{c^{-1}}$, which maps every matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ to the matrix $\Phi^{c^{-1}}(A) = (c^{-1}(a_{ij}))$. This mapping is an automorphism of the semigroup $G_n(R)$. Then $\Phi'' = \Phi^{c^{-1}} \circ \Phi' = \Phi^{c^{-1}} \circ \Phi_{M'} \circ \Phi$ is an automorphism of the semigroup $G_n(R)$, preserving all matrices S_σ ($\sigma \in \Sigma_n$) and $B_{ij}(x)$ ($x \in R_+$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $i \neq j$). Namely, $\Phi''(S_\sigma) = \Phi^{c^{-1}}(\Phi'(S_\sigma)) = \Phi^{c^{-1}}(S_\sigma) = S_\sigma$, since the matrix S_σ contains only 0 and 1; for $i = 3, \dots, n$ $\Phi''(B_{i2}(x)) = \Phi''(S_{(1,i)}B_{12}(x)S_{(1,i)}) = S_{(1,i)}\Phi''(B_{12}(x)))S_{(1,i)} = S_{(1,i)}\Phi^{c^{-1}}(B_{12}(c(x)))S_{(1,i)} = S_{(1,i)}B_{12}(x)S_{(1,i)} = B_{i,2}(x)$; for $j = 3, \dots, n$ $\Phi''(B_{1j}(x)) = \Phi''(S_{(2,j)}B_{12}(x)S_{(2,j)}) = S_{(2,j)}B_{12}(x)S_{(2,j)} = B_{1j}(x)$; for $i, j = 3, \dots, n$ $\Phi''(B_{ij}(x)) = \Phi''(S_{(i,1)}B_{1j}(x)S_{(1,i)}) = S_{(1,i)}B_{1j}(x)S_{(1,i)} = B_{ij}(x)$.

As we know (see Lemma 8), for all $\alpha \in R_+^*$

$$\Phi''(diag[\alpha, 1, \dots, 1]) = diag[\beta(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha), \dots, \gamma(\alpha)], \quad \beta, \gamma \in R_+^*.$$

Let us use the condition

$$\begin{aligned}
&diag[\alpha, 1, \dots, 1]B_{12}(1)diag[\alpha^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1] = B_{12}(\alpha) \Rightarrow \\
&\qquad \Phi''(diag[\alpha, 1, \dots, 1])\Phi''(B_{12}(1))\Phi''(diag[\alpha^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1]) = \Phi''(B_{12}(\alpha)) \Rightarrow \\
&\qquad \Rightarrow diag[\beta(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha), \dots, \gamma(\alpha)]B_{12}(1)diag[\beta(\alpha)^{-1}, \gamma(\alpha)^{-1}, \dots, \gamma(\alpha)^{-1}] = B_{12}(\alpha) \Rightarrow \\
&\qquad \Rightarrow \beta(\alpha)\gamma(\alpha)^{-1} = \alpha \Rightarrow \beta(\alpha) = \alpha\gamma(\alpha) \Rightarrow \\
&\qquad\qquad \forall \alpha \in R_+^* \ \Phi''(diag[\alpha, 1, \dots, 1]) = diag[\alpha\gamma(\alpha), \gamma(\alpha), \dots, \gamma(\alpha)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $diag[\alpha, 1, \dots, 1]$ commutes with every matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}, \quad X \in G_{n-1}(R),$$

and $n \geq 3$, we have that for all $\alpha \in R_+^*$ $\gamma(\alpha) \in Z_+^*(R)$.

Since for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R_+^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
&diag[\alpha_1\alpha_2\gamma(\alpha_1\alpha_2), \gamma(\alpha_1\alpha_2), \dots, \gamma(\alpha_1\alpha_2)] = \Phi''(diag[\alpha_1\alpha_2, 1, \dots, 1]) = \\
&\qquad = \Phi''(diag[\alpha_1, 1, \dots, 1])\Phi''(diag[\alpha_2, 1, \dots, 1]) = \\
&\qquad = diag[\alpha_1\gamma(\alpha_1), \gamma(\alpha_1), \dots, \gamma(\alpha_1)]diag[\alpha_2\gamma(\alpha_2), \gamma(\alpha_2), \dots, \gamma(\alpha_2)] = \\
&\qquad = diag[\alpha_1\alpha_2\gamma(\alpha_1)\gamma(\alpha_2), \gamma(\alpha_1)\gamma(\alpha_2), \dots, \gamma(\alpha_1)\gamma(\alpha_2)] \Rightarrow \\
&\qquad\qquad \Rightarrow \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in R_+^* \ \gamma(\alpha_1\alpha_2) = \gamma(\alpha_1)\gamma(\alpha_2),
\end{aligned}$$

we have that the mapping $\gamma(\cdot)$ is a central homomorphism (see Definition 11) $\gamma(\cdot): R_+^* \to Z_+^*(R)$.

If $A = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n] \in D_n(R)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi''(A) = \Phi''(&diag[\alpha_1, 1, \dots, 1]S_{1,2}diag[\alpha_2, 1, \dots, 1]S_{(1,2)}S_{(1,3)}diag[\alpha_3, 1, \dots, 1]S_{(1,3)} \dots \\ &\dots S_{(1,n)}diag[\alpha_n, 1, \dots, 1]S_{(1,n)}) = \gamma(\alpha_1)diag[\alpha_1, 1, \dots, 1]S_{(1,2)}\gamma(\alpha_2)diag[\alpha_2, 1, \dots, 1]S_{(1,2)} \dots \\ &\dots S_{(1,n)}\gamma(\alpha_n)diag[\alpha_n, 1, \dots, 1]\gamma(\alpha_n) = \\ &= \gamma(\alpha_1)\dots\gamma(\alpha_n)A = \gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n)A.\end{aligned}$$

Recall (see Definition 8) that $\mathbf{P}$ is the subsemigroup in $G_n(R)$, which is generated by the matrices S_σ ($\sigma \in \Sigma_n$), $B_{ij}(x)$ ($x \in R_+$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $i \neq j$), and $diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$ ($\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in R_+^*$).

It is clear that every matrix $A \in \mathbf{P}$ can be represented in the form

$$A = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]A_1 \dots A_k,$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in R_+^*$, $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \{S_\sigma, B_{ij}(x) | \sigma \in \Sigma_n, x \in R_+, i, j = 1, \dots, n, i \neq j\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi''(A) &= \Phi''(diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]A_1 \dots A_k) = \\ &= \gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n)diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]A_1 \dots A_k = \gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n)A.\end{aligned}$$

Now we can introduce the mapping $\overline{\gamma}(\cdot): \mathbf{P} \to Z_+^*(R)$ by the following rule: if $A \in \mathbf{P}$ and $A = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]A_1 \dots A_k$, where $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \{S_\sigma, B_{ij}(x) | \sigma \in \Sigma_n, x \in R_+, i, j = 1, \dots, n, i \neq j\}$, then $\overline{\gamma}(A) = \gamma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

The mapping $\overline{\lambda}(\cdot)$ is uniquely defined, because if $A = diag[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]A_1 \dots A_k = diag[\alpha_1', \dots, \alpha_n']A_1' \dots A_m'$, then $\Phi''(A) = \gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n)A$ and $\Phi''(A) = \gamma(\alpha_1' \dots \alpha_n')A$ and therefore $\gamma(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) = \gamma(\alpha_1' \dots \alpha_n')$.

Since $\overline{\gamma}(AA')AA' = \Phi''(AA') = \Phi''(A)\Phi''(A') = \overline{\gamma}(A)A \cdot \overline{\gamma}(A')A' = \overline{\gamma}(A)\overline{\gamma}(A')AA'$, we have that $\overline{\gamma}$ is a homomorphism $\mathbf{P} \to Z_+^*(R)$.

Now we see that on the semigroup $\mathbf{P}$ the automorphism Φ'' concides with the central homothety $\Omega(\cdot): \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{P}$, where for all $a \in \mathbf{P}$ $\Omega(A) = \overline{\gamma}(A) \cdot A$.

Let $B \in GE_n^+(R)$. Then (see Definitions 9,10) B is $\mathcal{P}$-equivalent to some matrix $A \in \mathbf{P}$, i.e. there exist matrices $A_0, \dots, A_k \in G_n(R)$, $A_0 = A \in \mathbf{P}$, $A_k = B$ and matrices $P_i, \widetilde{P}_i, Q_i, \widetilde{Q}_i \in \mathbf{P}$, $i = 0, \dots, k-1$ such that for all $i = 0, \dots, k-1$

$$P_i A_i \widetilde{P}_i = Q_i A_{i+1} \widetilde{Q}_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi''(P_0 A_0 \widetilde{P}_0) = \Phi''(Q_0 A_1 \widetilde{Q}_0) \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \overline{\gamma}(P_0)P_0\overline{\gamma}(A_0)A_0\overline{\gamma}(\widetilde{P}_0)\widetilde{P}_0 = \overline{\gamma}(Q_0)Q_0\Phi''(A_1)\overline{\gamma}(\widetilde{Q}_0)\widetilde{Q}_0 \Rightarrow \\ &\overline{\gamma}(P_0 A_0 \widetilde{P}_0)P_0 A_0 \widetilde{P}_0 = \overline{\gamma}(Q_0\widetilde{Q}_0)Q_0\Phi''(A_1)\widetilde{Q}_0 \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \overline{\gamma}(P_0 A_0 \widetilde{P}_0)\overline{\gamma}(Q_0\widetilde{Q}_0)^{-1}Q_0 A_1 \widetilde{Q}_0 = Q_0\Phi''(A_1)\widetilde{Q}_0 \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \Phi''(A_1) = \overline{\gamma}(P_0 A_0 \widetilde{P}_0)\overline{\gamma}(Q_0\widetilde{Q}_0)^{-1}A_1, \dots, \\ &\dots, \Phi''(B) = \Phi''(A_n) = \overline{\gamma}(P_{n-1})\overline{\gamma}(A_{n-1})\overline{\gamma}(\widetilde{P}_{n-1})\overline{\gamma}(Q_{n-1})^{-1}\overline{\gamma}(\widetilde{Q}_{n-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can continue the mapping $\overline{\gamma}(\cdot): \mathbf{P} \to Z_+^*(R)$ to some mapping $\lambda(\cdot): GE_n^+(R) \to Z_+^*(R)$ such that for every $B \in GE_n^+(R)$

$$\Phi''(B) = \lambda(B) \cdot B.$$

Since Φ'' is an automorphism of the semigroup $GE_n^+(R)$, we have that $\lambda(\cdot)$ is a central homomorphism $\lambda(\cdot): GE_n^+(R) \to Z_+^*(R)$ and so the automorphism $\Phi'': GE_n^+(R) \to GE_n^+(R)$ is a central homothety $\Omega(\cdot): GE_n^+(R) \to GE_n^+(R)$, where $\forall X \in GE_n^+(R)$ $\Omega(X) = \lambda(X) \cdot X$.

Since $\Phi'' = \Omega$ on $GE_n^+(R)$ and $\Phi'' = \Phi^{c^{-1}} \circ \Phi_{M'} \circ \Phi$ on $G_n(R)$, then $\Phi = \Phi_M \circ \Phi^c \circ \Omega$ on $GE_n^+(R)$, where $M = M'^{-1}$. □

References

[1] A. V. Mikhalev, M. A. Shatalova. Automorphisms and antiautomorphisms of the semigroup of invertible matrices with nonnegative elements. — Math. sbornik. — 1970. — V. 81(123), N 4. — P.600–609 (in Russian).

[2] S. N. Ilyin. Invertible matrices over (non-associative) antirings. Universal Algebra and its aplications. — Volgograd, Peremena, 2000, P. 81–89 (in Russian).